

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;  
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING  
LLC,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE  
WHY OTTO TRUCKING SHOULD NOT  
BE HELD IN CONTEMPT OF THE  
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION ORDER  
(Dkt. 426)**

1 Plaintiff Waymo LLC's ("Waymo") has moved for an Order directing Defendant Otto  
2 Trucking LLC to appear and Show Cause why it should not be held in contempt of the Court's  
3 Preliminary Injunction Order (Dkt. 426).

4 Having considered Waymo's motion, the memorandum of points and authorities, and  
5 supporting declaration, the Court GRANTS the motion as follows:

6 **TO DEFENDANT OTTO TRUCKING LLC: YOU ARE HEREBY ORDERED TO**  
7 **APPEAR AND SHOW CAUSE**

8 on August 17, 2017, at 8:00 a.m. in the United States District Court for the Northern District of  
9 California, San Francisco Division, Courtroom 8, 19th Floor, located at 450 Golden Gate Avenue,  
10 San Francisco California, if there be any, why the Court should not hold Otto Trucking in civil  
11 contempt and issue sanctions for the following:

12 (1) failing to "use the full extent of [its] authority and influence to obtain cooperation" from  
13 its officers and agents, including Anthony Levandowski and Lior Ron, with Otto  
14 Trucking's "thorough investigation" and "detailed accounting under oath setting forth  
15 every person who has seen or heard any part of any downloaded materials, what they saw  
16 or heard, when they saw or heard it, and for what purpose," as required by Paragraph 4 of  
17 the "Scope of Relief Granted";

18 (2) failing to "provide Waymo's counsel and the Court with a complete and  
19 chronologically organized log of all oral and written communications – including, without  
20 limitation, conferences, meetings, phone calls, one-on-one conversations, texts, emails,  
21 letters, memos, and voicemails – wherein Anthony Levandowski mentioned LiDAR to any  
22 officer, director, employee, agent, supplier, or consultant of defendants," as required by  
23 Paragraph 5 of the "Scope of Relief Granted."

24  
25 //

26 //

27 //

28

1 Otto Trucking shall file any written response to the Motion for Order to Show Cause no  
2 later than \_\_\_\_\_ a.m./p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_, 2017.

3  
4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5  
6 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2017

7 \_\_\_\_\_  
8 HON. WILLIAM ALSUP  
9 United States District Court Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28